Af | 3723 Bri

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE SEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Group Art Unit: 3723

Examiner: Anthony Eziamara OJINI

Confirmation No.: 2562

In Re PATENT APPLICATION Of:

Appellant(s):	Patrick Michael McCAFFREY et al.)
Serial No.:	09/840,077)) SUPPLEMENTAL
Filed:	April 24, 2001) BRIEF ON APPEAL
For:	POWDER FOR DISKS) and REQUEST FOR) RESINSTATEMENT
Docket No.:	ROC920010057US1 –IBM 204)
) September 6, 2005

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

INTRODUCTION

This is an Appeal from the Final Office Action dated August 24, 2004 and the following Action mailed on March 11, 2005. No fee is due under MPEP § 1208.02, as a Notice of Appeal and Brief on Appeal were already filed in response to this Final Action. A Notice of Non-Compliance was mailed on August 23, 2005, stating that the Applicants' communication of April 5, 2005 was a non-compliant response, and this Supplemental Brief is submitted within one month of the mailing date of that Notice, so no extension fee is due, either. Reinstatement of the appeal is requested. However, if any fees are needed to enter this paper, please charge the same to our deposit account no. 18-0002 and advise us accordingly. No petition for extension of time is needed because of this authorization, but consider this paper a petition for such if needed.

While the Office communication of August 23 states that the Applicants' response was non-compliant, this is respectfully traversed for the record. The Brief of January 14, 2005 was submitted in response to the final Office action of August 24, 2004. The Examiner then mailed a second, non-final Office action on March 11, 2005, which made no new rejections and moreover did not present any new arguments. The Applicants respectfully maintain for the record that the March 11 action was not effective to reopen prosecution. MPEP § 1208.02 states that "The examiner may ... reopen prosecution to enter a new ground of rejection" and no new ground was present. Therefore, reopening of prosecution was not in accord with the MPEP and was ineffective and void. Thus, the Applicants were actually under no obligation to respond. However, the Applicants now submit this Supplemental Brief to avoid further complication and to insure full responsiveness.

The Examiner rejected all pending claims 1, 4-9, 21, and 23-28. The other claims 2, 3, 10-20, 22, and 29 were canceled without prejudice to reentry. A copy of the claims involved in this Appeal is attached as Appendix A. Claims 10-20 were restricted, and the Appellants have maintained traversal of the restriction requirement, as is explained in Appendix B.

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The present application has been assigned to International Business Machines Corporation, of Armonk, New York 10504, which is the real party in interest.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

To the best of the undersigned attorney's belief and knowledge, no other appeals or interferences are or have been filed which may be related to, directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

This application was filed on April 24, 2001, with apparatus claims 1-9 and method claims 10-20. The method claims 10-20 were examined, and subsequently restricted and canceled (see Appendix B), and new apparatus claims 21-29 were added, by the Amendment of December 3, 2003. Claims 2, 3, 22, and 29 were canceled by the Amendment of June 22, 2004.

All the pending claims 1, 4-9, 21, and 23-28 are apparatus claims, with 1, 9, and 21 being independent. All the pending claims are rejected in the Final Office Action herein appealed from, of August 24, 2004.

Claims 1, 4-9, 21, and 23-28 are pending in the application.

Claims 1, 4-9, 21, and 23-28 have been finally rejected.

Claims 1, 4-9, 21, and 23-28 are appealed.

STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments have been filed subsequent to the Examiner's final action.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Disks made of brittle and easily-scratched materials, such as glass or glass ceramic, are important for making computer hard drives and similar items; these disks can store digital information after they are coated with a thin layer of magnetic material. The Appellants' specification notes (page 1, line 16 and page 8, line 11) that in a magnetic disk the information density depends on having the reading head fly very close over the surface of the hard disk. But closeness depends on a smooth, flat, and undamaged surface. Non-brittle metals, such as aluminum and nickel, are not as suitable for hard drive disks (Appellants' specification at page 1, line 20 and page 2, lines 2-9).

Thus, high information density requires an un-damaged surface of a hard and brittle disk, and the surfaces of these disks must be kept in good condition during transportation and processing.

In order to be transported without taking up an inordinate volume of space, and also to facilitate automatic processing (e.g., in clean rooms), hard drive disks must be *stacked* on one another, rather than being packaged individually (page 2, lines 18-21). However, hard and brittle disks that are stacked directly one on top of one another are very likely to chip or break each other during the rough handling of transport, and scratches are certain to result when hard particles get in between the disks. Furthermore, very clean and very flat hard objects tend to stick together on contact, and hard drive disks are likely to stick if not kept separated (page 3, line 1).

The conventional way to prevent disk sticking and damage is to insert sheets of paper between the disks, but paper has caused problems, including paper falling into the machinery and being counted or treated as a disk (specification pages 3-4). There has been a need for something better (page 4, lines 8-11).

The Appellants solved the paper problem by using powder in place of paper sheets (Fig. 2 shows powder between two disks 12). The powder cushions the disks and absorbs impacts better than paper (the Honorable Board is invited to compare a bean-bag chair to a stack of newspaper); the powder prevents them from sticking together; and it does not get stuck in the handling machinery, as paper does (page 5, lines 5-11 and page 9, lines 5-22). When un-stacking the disks, the powder can be blown off and/or removed as part of the polishing operation (page 5, lines 12-18).

The Appellants have simplified and advanced the art, and the Appellants' solution is *very simple*. Such simplicity makes inadvertent hindsight reconstruction a very easy thing to fall into, and it is respectfully submitted that hindsight reconstruction is the basis of the Examiner's

rejection. As the Appellants will demonstrate by their arguments below, the prior art does not disclose or suggest their powder.

Specific embodiments of the Appellants' powder include: inorganic powder (claims 4 and 24; supported at page 5, line 19); calcium carbonate, mineral, and specific powder materials (claims 5-7 and 25-27; page 6, lines 8-16); powder mesh 200 (claims 8 and 28; page 6, last paragraph and page 11, lines 14-18). Transport cassettes are disclosed at page 9, first paragraph). Powder softer than the disks is disclosed at page 6, line 6.

GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

- 1. Claim 9 is rejected as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-9, 21, and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Schweitzer et al (US 3,856,472) ("Schweitzer") in view of Kojima et al (US 3,990,990) ("Kojima").

ARGUMENT: INDEFINITENESS OF CLAIM 9

It is curious that the Examiner has rejected claim 9 for "glass or ceramic-glass" while not rejecting the other two independent claims 1 and 21 for "comprised of one of a glass and glass ceramic," which has exactly the same meaning, The meaning is the same whether "or" is taken in its exclusive sense, or in its inclusive (i.e., logical) sense. The latter statement is true because "comprised" in claims 1 and 21 allows the non-selected element to be encompassed by the claim language, and so the case of both glass and glass ceramic is covered, just as that case is covered by inclusive "or.".

With respect, the rejection appears to be based on the Examiner's incorrect opinion that "or" is inherently indefinite under § 112, while "and" is not. Such an assumption is contrary to

M.P.E.P. §§ 2173.05(h)(II) and 2173.04, is contrary to USPTO practice, and is contrary to case law.

ARGUMENT: OBVIOUSNESS REJECTION OF CLAIM 1 (incorporated for 9 and 21)

Obviousness Rejection: Each one of the independent claims 1, 9, and 21 recites the main features of disks separated by powder. The Appellants argue for all of theseincs, and each one of these claims individually, below.

Schweitzer discloses an apparatus for "gettering" semiconductor wafers to "prevent or reverse the diffusing-in of impurities" (col. 1, line 22). Schweitzer sandwiches each semi-conductor disk 5 between two quartz disks 6 in a stacked arrangement (shown in Fig. 2) and heats the stack in an oven at more than 1000 °C (col. 2, line 17). Impurity molecules diffuse from the semiconductor disks to the quartz disks, thus purifying the semiconductor wafers. The heat increases molecular speeds and thereby speeds the process of molecular diffusion, which is the basis of gettering impurities out of the semiconductor and into the quartz (col. 2, lines 26-31).

(1) The Examiner asserts that Schweitzer discloses disks of "glass and glass-ceramic" (page 3, line 3 of the final Action), but the Appellants believe that neither of these materials are actually disclosed.

First, as to "glass:" Although the reference refers to the disks 6 as "quartz glass" (col. 2, line 61), it also discloses that the disks are made of "quartz (SiO₂)." Quartz, although it is an ingredient of glass and can be used for optical parts as glass is, it is by itself a crystalline substance best classified as a ceramic rather than a glass. The other two materials mentioned at col. 1, line 62 as being as useful for gettering are silicon nitride and boron nitride, which are both ceramics. Attached as Appendix C are pages from the Encyclopaedia Britannica; page 196 of

¹ The Random House Dictionary defines "getter" as "any substance introduced into a partial vacuum [e.g. a vacuum tube] to combine chemically with the residual gas in order to increase the vacuum."

vol. 8, in the first paragraph of the Glass article in col. 2, states the basic fact of glass, i.e. that it is a non-crystalline liquid. The beginning of the second paragraph states that quartz (SiO₂) is an ingredient of glass.

Second, as to "glass-ceramic:" Schweitzer nowhere mentions this term, and the Examiner has not cited to the reference as mentioning this term or disclosing the material. Furthermore, the Examiner appears to ignore the meaning of the claim terminology. A glass-ceramic is a special type of glass, not a pure ceramic like quartz, silicon nitride, or boron nitride. In Appendix C of pages from the Encyclopaedia Britannica, page 210 of volume 8 describes glass ceramic as a glass that has had special heat treatment; likewise, page 1154 of vol 3, in the third paragraph in col. 2, describes "glass ceramics" as a special material that is partly crystalline and formed from glass by heating. This shows that pure quartz cannot be classified as a glass-ceramic, because quartz has its own unique response to heat treatment, as is shown in Appendix C by the last paragraph in the middle column on page 341 of vol. VIII.

Thus, the reference discloses neither glass nor glass-ceramic.

(2) Schweitzer does not disclose powder, as the Examiner admits (page 3, line 4). Moreover, Schweitzer teaches against powder.

Schweitzer states (col. 3, lines 6-17) that "gettering ... can be increased ... if ... the two surfaces in contact with each other are sufficiently flush so that the mutual deviations of the averaged surface from a geometric plane are not greater than the depth of the [surface] roughness." The surface roughness is less than 15 µm (claim 3). Schweitzer's claim 1 recites that the getter disks "lie in contact with the faces of said semiconductor discs."

Clearly, when two touching surfaces are flat and smooth, impurity molecules will diffuse from the semiconductor into the quartz more quickly because they can diffuse directly across the material boundary, over a short travel path.

If sand (quartz powder) were substituted for Schweitzer's quartz disk, then there would be only a few points of contact between the materials. The average diffusion path for an impurity molecule would be much longer, and, consequently, diffusion would be greatly reduced. That result would be contrary to the object of Schweitzer.

Conversely, grinding the semiconductor disks into powder clearly would also be contrary to the object of producing a usable semiconductor disk free of impurities.

Thus, Schweitzer discloses no glass or ceramic-glass disks; and even if the quartz is incorrectly taken as anticipating a glass or ceramic-glass disk, the reference inherently teaches against grinding up the semiconductor; and if, on the other hand, the semiconductor is incorrectly taken as anticipating a glass or ceramic-glass disk, then the reference again inherently teaches against grinding up the quartz into sand. M.P.E.P. § 2144.01.

(3) The Examiner relies on Kojima for disclosing powder, and Kojima does so: but Kojima, like Schweitzer, teaches against powder. Indeed, Kojima teaches against powder overtly and directly.

Kojima at col. 1, lines 13-25, states, "It is known to use an anti-adhering agent [such as] powder [which is] applied either in the form of powder or ... aqueous suspension to surfaces of rubber ... Such the conventional treatments ... are disadvantageous because they usually cause dusting and produce bad working environments." Kojima goes on (col. 1, lines 34-42) to announce that its object is to overcome the disadvantages of such known methods and to "provide a new and improved anti-adhesive composition for rubber which is of a *non-powder* form which never cause dusting" (emphasis added). Kojima provides an aqueous solution to replace powder.

However, Kojima also discloses (claim 14 and col. 3, lines 60-68) adding some powder to its aqueous solution. The powder might be talc, mica, calcium carbonate, or magnesium carbonate (col. 4, line 2). According to Kojima, the added powder will not cause dusting because

the powder is "suspended in the aqueous solution" (col. 4, lines 1-8). Thus, Kojima teaches against the use of powder except when it remains in an aqueous solution.

Kojima's teaching is directly contrary to the recitation in claim 1 (and also the other two independent claims 9 and 21) that "only" powder is disposed between the disks.

Kojima *consistently* teaches against the Appellants' claim 1 (and also claims 9 and 21) throughout.

(4) Would the person of ordinary skill have been motivated by this teaching to substitute powder in an aqueous solution for either the quartz disks or the semiconductor disks of Schweitzer? The person of ordinary skill would be aware that the aqueous solution of Kojima would evaporate in the 1000 °C oven of Schweitzer, leaving a dry dust of talc, mica, calcium carbonate, or magnesium carbonate, contrary to the teaching of Kojima against dusting.

The person of ordinary skill would also be aware that none of the materials used by Kojima is mentioned by Schweitzer as a getter (Schweitzer mentions only boron nitride, silicon nitride, and silicon dioxide, i.e. quartz), and there is no evidence that Kojima's materials (talc, mica, calcium carbonate, or magnesium carbonate) have an impurity solubility higher than the expected concentration of impurities in semiconductor materials, which is the criterion used by Schweitzer (col. 1, lines 55-57).

Also, as is discussed above, use of a powder in place of a disk would greatly reduce the diffusion which is the object of Schweitzer, even if Kojima's materials were suitable for gettering (which is not disclosed).

The Appellants respectfully submit that substituting Kojima's aqueous solution of talc, etc., for Schweitzer's disks would be completely useless, and would not have been done by a person of ordinary skill in the art, who knows everything technical.

(5) With respect, the Examiner's proposed motivation for combining the references is incorrect. "It would have been obvious," writes the Examiner (page 3, line 14) "to provide

product of Schweitzer et al. with a powder ... in view of Kojima et al. so as to prevent the disks from sticking to each other." However, Schweitzer is not concerned with sticking and the Examiner provides no citation or other evidence showing that this is a concern to Schweitzer or in the semiconductor wafer art generally. There is no support for the Examiner's assertion, either by citation or by reasoned argument. A similar assertion is presented at page 4, lines 3-4, again without any support.

- (6) Where Schweitzer is concerned with removing impurities from semiconductor wafers, Kojima is concerned with preventing unvulcanized rubber mats from sticking to each other, a field far from that of Schweitzer; the only similarity seen by the Appellants is that the wafers and the rubber sheets are both laminar. In every other way their properties are disparate, and this disparity also teaches against combining the references.
- (7) The Examiner states on page 5, lines18, that Kojima's powder "would inherently protect the substrate material surface from scratches, and serves to cushion the substrate material surfaces from impact damage." This is respectfully disagreed with. Particles of mica, talc, and other minerals are much harder than rubber and would tend to scratch the rubber surface, not protect it; as to cushioning, the Appellants ask: is a rubber mat in need of cushioning? and if so, would a rubber mat be cushioned by tiny particles of grit? With respect, the answers are No.
- (8) The concerns and objects of the applied artisans are irrelevant to the concerns and objects of the Appellants. For example, Schweitzer does not need to be concerned with chipping because the disks are held stationary in an oven, and Kojima's rubber mats cannot chip.
- (9) The CCPA, in the case of *In re* Buehler, 515 F.2d 1134, 185 USPQ 781, wrote of the PTO's "attempt to take the plaintiff's invention apart bit by bit in order to show obviousness in each of its differences from the prior art. In determining patentability, however, we are not concerned with the obviousness of each bit when dissected out ... but with ... his whole invention as claimed." This case is cited in Appendix D.

ARGUMENT: OBVIOUSNESS REJECTION OF CLAIM 9

The arguments above are reiterated for independent claim 9.

ARGUMENT: OBVIOUSNESS REJECTION OF CLAIM 21

The arguments above are reiterated for independent claim 21.

ARGUMENT: OBVIOUSNESS REJECTION OF DEPENDENT CLAIMS 8 AND 28

As to the dependent claims 8 and 28, the Examiner states that the claimed 200 mesh powder is obvious as an optimum value, but neither reference mentions the powder mesh or teaches optimizing the particle size. Teaching toward optimizing particle size comes from the Appellants, not the prior artisans.

The case of *In re* Rosenburger et al., 55 CCPA 880, 386 F. 2d 1015, 156 USPQ 24, concerned claims to producing a coating on a dinner plate. The CCPA wrote, "[PTO's] argument assumes that Verela teaches that spraying the mold and dusting the preform are equivalent methods. This is incorrect, for Varela clearly teaches that the dusting and pill preform methods of coating yield unsatisfactory products. The teaching of Varela therefore discourages research in the very field where appellants made their invention." This case is seen to support the Appellants' argument in the preceding paragraph.

FINAL CONCLUSION

In partial summary of the arguments above against obviousness:

(1) Neither of the references discloses either the glass material or the glass-ceramic material recited in the Appellants' independent claims, and therefore no combination could reach the claims, even if combination were obvious (not admitted).

Attorney Docket IBM 204

(2) Both of the references teach against the use of the Appellants' claimed powder. The

only reference which actually discloses powder (Kojima) actively and directly teaches against

powder only, and teaches powder only when it is keep wet in special solution, which is

impossible in the asserted combination; and the other reference (Schweitzer) teaches against

powder inherently. There is no teaching at all in favor of powder, and the Examiner has not even

asserted that there is such teaching, let alone pointed out any such teaching by citation or

reasoned argument.

In partial summary of the arguments above against indefiniteness:

The rejection is inconsistent and contrary to the M.P.E.P.

Appellants have demonstrated that the Examiner's rejections of the claims as being

indefinite or obvious in view of the cited patents lack basis in fact and in law. Appellant

therefore respectfully requests the Honorable Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences to

reverse or withdraw all of the rejections.

Respectfully submitted,

September 6, 2005

Date

Registration No. 33,478

RABIN & BERDO, PC

Customer No. 23995

Telephone: 202-371-8976

Facsimile: 202-408-0924

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF ON APPEAL

APPENDIX A

Claim 1 (previously presented): In combination, a plurality of disks including a first disk and a second disk stacked upon said first disk, and a powder disposed between said first disk and said second disk, said powder facilitating removal of said first disk from said second disk, and protecting said first disk and said second disk from scratches, and serving to cushion said first disk and said second disk to protect said disks from impact damage, when said second disk is stacked upon said first disk,

wherein said first disk and said second disk are each comprised of one of a glass and glass ceramic; and

wherein only said powder spaces said first disk from said second disk.

Claims 2-3 (canceled).

Claim 4 (previously presented): The combination recited in claim 1, wherein said powder is comprised of an inorganic material.

Claim 5 (Original): The combination recited in claim 4, wherein said inorganic material is calcium carbonate.

Claim 6 (Original): The combination recited in claim 4, wherein said inorganic material is selected from the group consisting of calcium carbonate, calcium magnesium carbonate, calcium phosphate, magnesium carbonate, magnesium borate, magnesium oxide, magnesium phosphate, and clay.

Claim 7 (previously presented): The combination recited in claim 1, wherein said powder is a mineral powder.

Claim 8 (previously presented): The combination recited in claim 1, wherein said powder has a size of about 200 mesh.

Claim 9 (previously presented): In combination, a plurality of disks including a first glass or ceramic-glass disk and a second glass or ceramic-glass disk stacked upon said first disk, and a powder disposed between said first disk and said second disk, wherein said first disk is spaced apart from said second disk by only said powder.

Claims 10-20 (Canceled).

Claim 21 (previously presented): In combination, a plurality of disks including a first disk and a second disk stacked upon said first disk, and a plurality of fine, loose particles constituting a powder disposed between said first disk and said second disk, said plurality of fine, loose particles facilitating removal of said first disk from said second disk, and protecting said first disk and said second disk and said second disk from scratches, and serving to cushion said first disk and said second disk to protect said disks from impact damage, when said second disk is stacked upon said first disk,

wherein said first disk and said second disk are each comprised of one of a glass and glass ceramic; and

wherein said first disk is spaced apart from said second disk by only said fine, loose particles.

Claim 22 (canceled).

Claim 23 (Previously Presented): The combination recited in claim 21, wherein said fine, loose particles space said first disk from said second disk.

Claim 24 (Previously Presented): The combination recited in claim 21, wherein said fine, loose particles are comprised of an inorganic material.

Claim 25 (Previously Presented): The combination recited in claim 24, wherein said inorganic material is calcium carbonate.

Claim 26 (Previously Presented): The combination recited in claim 24, wherein said inorganic material is selected from the group consisting of calcium carbonate, calcium magnesium carbonate, calcium phosphate, magnesium carbonate, magnesium borate, magnesium oxide, magnesium phosphate, and clay.

Claim 27 (previously presented): The combination recited in claim 21, wherein said powder is a mineral powder.

Claim 28 (previously presented): The combination recited in claim 21, wherein said fine, loose particles have a size of about 200 mesh.

Claim 29 (canceled).

APPENDIX B

The Examiner examined all of the original claims 1-20 in the first Action dated September 10, 2002 but then in the second Action dated January 17, 2003, restricted the already-examined claims 10-20 on the grounds that the two "inventions" were related as "product and process of use." However, this is incorrect; the actual relationship is product and method of making the product, as can be determined with a glance at original claims 1 and 10:

- 1. In combination, a plurality of disks including a first disk and a second disk stacked upon said first disk, and a powder disposed between said first disk and said second disk.
- 10. A method of preparing a disk, comprising:

 providing at least a first disk and a second disk;

 stacking the first disk on the second disk; and

 providing a powder between a surface of the first disk and a surface of the second disk.

In the Action of April 17, 2003, the Examiner asserted that the requirement was proper because "the process of using the product can be practiced with another ... product," which is also clearly incorrect. The claimed process of original claim 10 can only result in the claimed product of original claim 1, and nothing else.

The Appellants traversed the requirement in their Response of January 27, 2003, which incorporated a Petition to the Commissioner in case the restriction requirement were made final. However, nothing has been heard from the Commissioner in response to the Petition and the Appellants presume that the Examiner did not forward the Petition, despite reminders and requests for acknowledgment in the Appellants' Amendment of June 20, 2003 and the Amendment of December 3, 2003. In the Action of March 26, 2004 noted the Appellants' complaint that the Petition had not been forwarded to the Commissioner, and replied, "However, no Petition filed with the Applicants' response ... has been forwarded to the Commissioner," which does not constitute any explanation or clarification. The Appellants repeated their request

in the Amendment of June 22, 2004. In reply (in the outstanding Action of August 24, 2004), the Examiner wrote that "the rules do not provide [for] such provisional petition ... applicant should file a petition with fees directly to Commissioner" (page 5, line 1).

Both of the Petitions were incorporated in other papers, but each was clearly captioned as a "PROVISIONAL PETITION" and 37 C.F.R. §1.181 does not require filing a petition as a separate paper, nor is the M.P.E.P. seen to include any such requirement—the Examiner has not identified "the rules" relied upon. If the Examiner *sua sponte* had instituted such a requirement, the Appellants should have been notified of this fact earlier. In any event, it is believed that examiners have access to copy machines and a copy could very easily have been, and in fairness should have been, forwarded by the Examiner to the petitioned authority.

M.P.E.P. 1002.01 states that "Petitions ... are sent to the [deciding] official," which is the Tech Center Director under M.P.E.P. §1002.02(c)(2) and §1002.02(c)(3)(a) for the Appellants' petitions. However, the Appellants' Petitions specifically stated that they were appealing to the "Commissioner," which is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 (titled, "Petition to the Commissioner"). The Commissioner delegates to the proper official under M.P.E.P. §1002.02.

The Examiner also failed to forward a petition for withdrawal of finality sent to the PTO on October 3, 2003. No mention of this other petition is seen in the Examiner's actions.

APPENDIX C

Attached are pages photocopied from the Fifteenth Edition of the Encyclopaedia Britannica.

The New Encyclopædia Britannica

in 30 Volumes

MACROPÆDIA Volume 8

Knowledge in Depth

A 13. 15

Three sp.

ા તેના વ**રાવે**

on to Amor specific

. The reserve the first their

ร ซึ่ง (ระคา) อุฟเรียก (วิ**ทะส**รัติ

The part of the second of the

and the state of t The second secon

of the office strong and the affect the professional

referred to semi-mariatecture of

tion the water places. Burn bottly

FOUNDED 1768 15 TH EDITION



Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc. William Benton, Publisher, 1943-1973 Helen Hemingway Benton, Publisher, 1973-1974

Chicago Auckland/Geneva/London/Manila/Paris/Rome Seoul/Sydney/Tokyo/Toronto

epoch. During the reigns of the Yung-cheng (1723-35) and Ch'ien-lung (1736-96) emperors, the emphasis on blown forms was subordinated to the desire to make glass a surrogate for natural stones. Although the colours used are often not those found in nature, the glass is handled as though it were jade, the foot of each piece, in particular, being fashioned as though cut from stone. This lapidary treatment is further emphasized in the cased glass bottles cut on the wheel in such a way that the design stands in one or more colours on a ground of a contrasting tone.

(R.J.C

BIBLIOGRAPHY. There is ample literature on the history of glass. The following selection of titles includes basic reference works and handbooks on glass and glassmaking, as well as some specialized studies. In addition, the Journal of Glass Studies, issued annually by the Corning Museum of Glass includes extensive bibliographies. See also ROBERT J. CHARLESTON, Masterpieces of Glass: A World History from the Corning Museum of Glass (1980).

The basic sources for medieval glass manufacturing are HERACLUS Von den Farben und Künsten der Römer, ed. by Albert ILO (1873); and Theophilus Presbyter, Schedula diversarum artium, ed. by Albert ILO (1874; Eng. trans., On Divers Aris: The Treatise of Theophilus, 1963). Georg Agricola, Dere metallica (1556; Eng. trans., 1912, reprinted 1950); and particularly antonio neri, L'arte veitraia (1612; Eng. trans. by Christopher Merret, The Art of Glass..., 1662), give detailed descriptions of glassmaking in the 16th and 17th centuries. Other useful technological studies are Apsley Pellatt, Curiosities of Glass Making (1849); and Alfred Lucas, Ancient Egyptian Materials and Industries, 4th ed. rev. (1962).

EDWARD DILLON, Glass (1907); ROBERT SCHMIDT, Das Glas, 2nd ed. (1922); and W.B. HONEY, Glass: A Handbook ... Victoria and Albert Museum (1946), are among the best and most comprehensive general surveys of the history of glass. Masterpieces of Glass (1968), a catalog of some of the holdings in the British Museum, is a scholarly publication on the subject in general, accompanied by a large bibliography. For a general study of the international development of art glass, see ADA BUCH POLAK, Modern Glass (1962). GEOFFREY W. BEARD, International Modern Glass (1976), provides an account of modern glasswork, with descriptions and illustrations of glass from various countries.

Comprehensive illustrative material on glass of ancient times is found in gustavus a. eisen and fahim kouchakii, Glass, 2 vol. (1927); a scholarly survey is that of THE CORNING MUSE-UM, Glass from the Ancient World: The Ray Winfield Smith Collection (1957). See also AXEL VON SALDERN, Ancient and Byzantine Glass from Sardis (1981). Roman glass in particular was treated exhaustively by ANTON KISA in Das Glas im Altertume, 3 vol. (1908, reprinted 1968). Basic treatises on pre-Roman glass include H.C. BECK, "Glass Before 1500 B.C., in Ancient Egypt and the East, pt. 1, pp. 7-21 (June 1934); POUL FOSSING, Glass Vessels Before Glass-Blowing (1940); and BIRGIT NOLTE, Die Glasgesässe im alten Ägypten (1968). In addition to KISA (op. cit.), general books on Roman glass, such as morin-jean, La Verrerie en Gaule sous l'Empire romain (1913, reprinted 1977); CLASINA ISINGS, Roman Glass from Dated Finds (1957); and DONALD B. HARDEN, Roman Glass from Karanis Found by the University of Michigan Archaeological Expedition in Egypt 1924-29 (1936), are helpful for the understanding of this period. The latter has become a standard reference work for describing and cataloging ancient glass in general.

Western glass from the 5th to the 8th century is treated in detail by D.B. HARDEN, "Glass Vessels in Britain and Ireland, A.D. 400-1000," in Dark Age Britain (1956). Standard handbooks on Islāmic and Western medieval glass are CARL J. LAMM, Mittelalterliche Gläser und Steinschnittarbeiten aus dem Nahen Osten, 2 vol. (1929-30); and FRANZ RADEMACHER, Die deutschen Gläser des Mittelalters (1933). Byzantine glass is described in JOSEPH PHILIPPE, Le Monde byzantin dans l'histoire de la verrerie, V-XVIe siècle (1970) and in von SALDERN (opcit.).

Basic handbooks on French and Belgian glass are JAMES BARRELET, La Verrerie en France de l'époque gallo-romaine à nos jours (1953); and RAYMOND CHAMBON, L'Histoire de la verrerie en Belgique du IIe siècle à nos jours (1955). WILLIAM A. THORPE, A History of English and Irish Glass, 2 vol. (1929, reprinted 1969), is a standard reference work on English glass in particular; HUGH WAKEFIELD covers Nineteenth Century British Glass (1961). German, Bohemian, and Austrian glass is treated exhaustively in ROBERT SCHMIDT, Die Gläser der Sammler Mühsam, 2 vol. (1914–27). For polychrome painting on vessels, see AXEL VON SALDERN, German Enameled Glass

(1965). Handbooks on glass from about 1800 to about 1900 are Gustav Pazaurek, Gläser der Empire und Biedermeterzeit, 2nd ed. (1976) and Moderne Gläser (1901). Astone Gasparetro, Il vetro di Murano dalle origini ad oggi (1958), is a basic reference work on Venetian glass. Excellent surveys on Spanish glass are Josep Gudiol Y Ricart, Los vidrios catalanes (1941); and alice Wilson Frothingham, Spanish Glass (1964). For material on Scandinavian glass, ada buch Polak, Gammelt Norsk Glass (1953); and heribert settz, Aldre Svenska Glas ... (1936), should be consulted—both contain an English summary.

Glass in the United States has been dealt with in great detail by GEORGE S. and HELEN MCKEARIN in American Glass (1948, reprinted 1975) and Two Hundred Years of American Blown Glass, rev. ed. (1966). LURA W. WATKINS, American Glass and Glassmaking (1950, reprinted 1970) and VICTOR ARWAS, Glass: Art Nouveau to Art Deco (1977), present useful surveys of 19th- and 20th-century American glass. RAY and LEE GROVER, Art Glass Nouveau (1967), is valuable for its colour illustrations of 19th- and 20th-century fancy glasses in U.S. collections.

On Chinese glass see W.C. WHITE, Tombs of Old Lo-Yang (1934); W.B. HONEY, "Early Chinese Glass," Burlington Magazine, 71:211-223 (1937); and H.C. BECK, "Far Eastern Glass: Some Western Origins," Bulletin of the Museum of Far East-

ern Antiquities, 10:1-64 (1938).

Glass Products and Production

The commercially manufactured material known as glass is normally a transparent, hard, brittle substance formed from certain liquids that have the property of cooling below their freezing point without crystallizing, thus becoming liquids of increasingly high viscosity (stiffness) until eventually they are so stiff that these liquids have the properties of a solid.

Of the many substances that can form glass, the most widely used is silica (SiO₂), usually in combination with lime (calcium oxide, CaO) and soda (sodium oxide, Na₂O) in varying proportions, to make the soda-lime glass used for windows, light bulbs, bottles, and inexpensive tableware. Although glass could be made from silica alone, it would have an impractically high softening temperature, making it difficult to work. Nevertheless, it is the basic chemical nature of silica that determines both the structure of ordinary glass and the way in which it changes from a free-flowing liquid at high temperature to a virtually rigid solid as it cools.

The silica molecule can be visualized as a single atom of silicon, to the four bonds of which oxygen atoms are attached. The bond angles are fixed at 108° to each other, in three dimensions, at the centre. The oxygen atoms thus occupy the four points of a tetrahedron surrounding the silicon. Each oxygen atom plays a role in two such tetrahedra, but the bonds that unite it with its silicon partners do not lie in a fixed plane or at a precise angle. There is thus an almost infinite range of possibilities in the relative dispositions of the many tetrahedra that comprise even a minute speck of silica.

The silicon-oxygen bond is very powerful; considerable heat energy must be supplied if such material is to flow freely, because the fluid condition arises from the continued breaking, remaking, and rebreaking of this bond. Although heating and cooling crystalline silica can result in resumption of the crystalline form (and in materials that are not glass formers will do so), if cooling proceeds rapidly enough, the temperature at which transformation could occur is passed too quickly for the necessary rearrangement of atoms to take place; thereafter, the material is too stiff. The continuous random changes in the disordered structure are brought to a halt, and the structure remains as it was in its last fluid moment—in effect, a liquid whose molecules are immobilized.

Such a random liquid-type structure is a good deal more compact than one in which a state of maximum order prevails. It is full of cavities and holes that are of very considerable importance in practical glassmaking. If a metallic oxide is incorporated into the glass, many of these spaces will be occupied by metal ions, each of which will employ one of the bonds of an oxygen atom, so removing one of the props of the firm three-dimensional silica network. This, and the fact that the oxygen-ion bond is nondirectional, results in a much lower vis-

Natural etching of



1/UL. 8 Glassy State

melted under certain reducing conditions, is heat treated. The particle size is critical, between 10 and 1,000 angstroms, and selective absorption then gives the glass its red colour.

Nucleation and crystallization in glass-forming liquids. It was noted above that a supercooled glass-forming liquid is in a metastable state (a stability that is maintained only by a slight margin over an unstable state) and that if crystals or crystal nuclei are introduced and freezing can begin, the crystallization will spread throughout

the liquid.

Two aspects of the crystallization process must be considered separately, namely, the rates of formation of nuclei and their growth. So many nuclei are present in normal freezing processes that the crystallization process depends essentially upon the growth rate, and as this is negative above the freezing point, and very large and positive just below it, the liquid freezes at, or very near, the expected freezing point. For liquids (typically the highly viscous glass formers) that can be greatly supercooled, however, the crystallization rate also depends critically on the rate of formation of nuclei. Nuclei can be introduced from outside (heterogenous nucleation) or can appear spontaneously within the supercooled liquid (homogeneous nucleation). Homogeneous nucleation occurs because of thermal fluctuations within the liquid; consideration of the contribution of the surface energy of the embryonic nuclei to their total free energy shows that, at any given temperature, there is a critical size below which the nuclei are unstable and redissolve, and above which they can grow.

When nucleation and crystal growth occurs in glasses, it is known as devitrification. It is most marked in glasses in which the rates of nucleation and crystal growth nearly coincide when plotted as functions of temperature. Closeness of these curves results in nucleation and crystal growth over a wide temperature range. More stable glasses have a high viscosity at the freezing point, and crystal growth, which proceeds by diffusion process at the surface of the crystal, is slowed down. Heterogeneous nucleation, however, can still occur, especially at glass

surfaces, when impurity particles are present.

Studies of nucleation and crystallization in glasses have been made in recent years. One result of these efforts was the discovery of a glass containing a small amount of dissolved metal, such as gold or silver, which, after exposure to ultraviolet radiation and subsequent heat treatment, develops a colour caused by the growth of small metal particles in the glass. If this photosensitive glass is heat treated further, the particles act as nuclei, and opalescent regions of the glass devitrification products are formed wherever the glass was exposed to the ultraviolet radiation. Much less resistant to chemical attack than the parent glass, these regions are dissolved away while the parent glass remains. By the commercial use of this process, pieces and patterns of desired shapes can be obtained-for instance, fluid amplification ele-

A glass has been produced that can be changed almost completely into crystalline material-the glass ceramic. In application, an article of suitable glass composition fabricated by the usual method is subjected to appropriate heat treatment to convert it into a ceramic. Such materials are extremely inert chemically and can be designed to have either zero or a very small expansion

coefficient.

SPECIFIC PROPERTIES OF GLASS

Mechanical properties. Glass rods with untouched surfaces have a tensile strength of 500,000 pounds per square inch. By considering the energy changes involved in the surfaces formed by fracture the theoretical strength of glass is estimated to be about 1,000,000 pounds per square inch. Glass articles normally show strengths that are much lower, about 30,000 lb/in; and this difference is due to submicroscopic surface flaws that, produced by the slightest contact with another body, act as stress magnifiers. Glass in ordinary use, therefore, is far less strong

than it would be in a flawless state. Although th have the same stress-reducing effect as dislocat crystals, the exact identity of the flaws remains un Their presence, however, and number can be reve treating the glass with some modifying agent; for ple, by immersing glass in molten lithium nitra sodium in the surface is exchanged for lithium. I eign layer that is produced is in tension when the cools and minute cracks develop at the flaws.

At temperatures below the transformation rang behaves as a solid and stresses will be present if t perature varies within a glass object; a piece of h cooled suddenly will contract more on the outsi on the inside; the further contraction of the oute is thus prevented and they are put into tension; the the thermal expansion coefficient of a glass is (the expands for each degree rise), the greater this tens be. Some glasses expand very little. Thus, fused a excellent resistance to heat shock, while borosilic can undergo repeated reheating below the transfo range without cracking. It makes excellent ovenw

In the transformation range the glass behave taneously as a solid, an immediate elastic stra produced when an external force is applied, strain increases if the force is not removed. If the continually reduced to keep the strain constant ternal stress gradually disappears. The rate of thi depends on the viscosity of the glass. When the a of viscosity, η , equals 10^{18} poise, the stress is h about one minute. If a temperature gradient (a of temperature) exists in a piece of glass in the mation range, the resulting stresses die away and then has a temperature gradient but is stress cooling to room temperature the hotter interio contract more than the outer layers and is, ther tension while the outer layers are in compression stresses remain until the glass is reheated to the mation or annealing range. The principle is appli nealing, which is a process of minimizing the pe stresses in glass by heating until they disappear cooling slowly so that only very small temperate ents, and ultimately, therefore, only very small appear. Unless a glass article is properly an might break spontaneously after cooling. Large of stress-free uncracked glass are rare and d achieve. Annealing for exacting uses, such as in tical applications, moreover, involves more the moval of thermal stresses; the atomic structu glass will vary within the piece unless all parts had exactly the same thermal history through formation range.

Chemical properties. Common glasses are c inert but reactions with many substances do occ In contact with aqueous media the reaction be an exchange of hydronium ions, H.O', for soc Na*. (Hydronium ions exist in equilibrium with ions, OH-, in water.) Immediately, a surface li to build up in which the sodium ions in the been replaced by hydronium ions. For the n continue, sodium ions must diffuse through th layer. While the silica itself dissolves slowly, the rate of diffusion of sodium ions and the moval of silica may be such that the surface though moving into the glass, will remain at thickness.

With commercial glasses these conditions a attainable. The complexity of the process mal difficult to design accelerated test procedure nents such as alumina may be added to in chemical durability of the glass. The action of to provide sites that hold sodium ions on the su producing a more uniform layer of sodium lowering their rate of diffusion. The occupancy sites on the glass surface determines the bel glass when it is used as an electrode in detern drogen ion (H⁺) concentrations. When measur gen ion concentrations, it is important that t sites on the electrode be occupied by protons.

Homogeneous nucleation

Glass

ceramics

lpat aber

Taken to Harten and the SSOUNA A RES SALE

recond Edition Supplement Fourth Edition Fifth Edition Sixth Edition Supplement Seventh Edition ighth Edition linth Edition enth Edition

enth Edition

Hearing a minaral scale the the distribution of mile

The New Encyclopædia Britannica

in 30 Volumes

190 Sunony I work to die with a large All a time or Republicated to the same of cooper and to the same of the same o

tory maintained and of manifests.

he that found in since on they are

or or see that belone to the mostly as in your or

factor applied Roberts Actions of Commercial e is aprilled a para

Francisco Wind 医动物 山野 医皮肤 10 115 32 . 1

the Artist meanth in some The community of the artists to an artist to an artist and

MACROPÆDIA Volume 3

Knowledge in Depth

ี ซ สาย<mark>ใช้ว่า</mark>ละ ช

FOUNDED 1768 15 TH EDITION



Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc. William Benton, Publisher, 1943-1973 Helen Hemingway Benton, Publisher, 1973-1974

Chicago Auckland/Geneva/London/Manila/Paris/Rome Seoul/Sydney/Tokyo/Toronto

Critical appraisal. The elucidation of higher classification of the cephalopods is fraught with difficulties. Early specialists divided the living cephalopods into Octopoda and Decapoda without relation to their internal structure; these were both placed in the Dibranchia, in contrast to all fossil forms, which were considered as Tetrabranchia because Nautilus has four gills rather than two. This unnatural classification, accepted by d'Orbigny in 1838, was gradually modified through the efforts of A. Naef and G. Grimpe and later workers to the form given above. Phylogenetic linkages are still highly theoretical, but with X-ray techniques that reveal soft parts in fossils, and with new paleontological finds the unfolding of the evolutionary history is in sight.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

General treatises: P. PELSENEER, "Mollusca," in E.R. LAN-KESTER (ed.), Treatise on Zoology (1906), the only general technical treatment of the cephalopods in English; F.W. LANE, Kingdom of the Octopus (1957), an excellent general popular work with an extensive bibliography.

Systematics and distribution: 0. PFEFFER, "Cephalopoden, in Ergebnisse der Plankton-Exp., vol. 2F (1912), the only full systematic treatment of the Oegopsid squid of the world-old but useful; G.C. ROBSON, A Monograph of Recent Cephalopoda, 2 vol. (1929-32), descriptions of all known octopods to 1931; W. ADAM and W.J. RHES, "A Review of the Cephalopod Family Sepiidae," Sci. Rep. John Murray Exped. 1933-34 (1966), a discussion of the systematics, distribution, and relationships of the cuttlefishes of the world—an invaluable work; N. voss, Bull. Mar. Sci., vol. 19, no. 4 (1969), a comprehensive monograph on the deep sea squid family Histioteuthidae; G.E. PICKFORD, Dana Rep., no. 29 and 32 (1946, 1949), two vol-North America," Bull. Bur. Flsh., Wash., vol. 30 (1910); M. SASAKI, "A Monograph of the Dibranchiate Cephalopods of the Japanese and Adjacent Waters," J. Coll. Agric. Sapporo, suppl. (1929), an extensive monograph in English of the Japaneso cephalopods; I.I. AKIMUSHKIN, Cephalopods of the Seas of the U.S.S.R. (1965; orig. pub. in Russian, 1963) a monograph; M.R. CLARK, "A Review of the Systematics and Ecology of Oceanic Squids," Adv. Mar. Biol., 4:91-300 (1966).

Ceramics, Industrial

Universal

properties

ceramics

Industrial ceramics comprise all industrially used solid materials that are neither metallic nor organic. This definition expands the scope of ceramics far beyond the claybased bodies of the potter and traditional industrial ceramics, as well as beyond the sand-dominated formulas of the glassmaker. In physical structure ceramics may be polycrystalline, glass, combinations of multicrystals with glassy phases, or single crystals. The universal properties of ceramics that account for their enduring utility include: mechanical strength in spite of brittleness; chemical durability (at both normal and elevated temperatures) against deteriorating effects of oxygen, water liquid or vapour, acids, bases, and salts at all concentrations, and organic solvents; hardness, contributing to resistance against erosion; and the ability to be decorated in a wide range of colours, textures, and designs.

This article deals primarily with the raw-materials, manufacturing processes, and glazing techniques for industrial ceramics. Industrial ceramics products include glass; cement; structural clay products; refractories (hightemperature materials); abrasives; whitewares; porcelain enamels or ceramic-metal systems; ceramic mineral preparations; and newer technical products such as electronic and nuclear ceramics, carbon, graphite, diamonds, and ceramic composites. For information on glass, see GLASS PRODUCTS AND PRODUCTION. Cement is covered in the articles CEMENT and CONCRETE. The article BRICK AND TILE PRODUCTION describes structural clay products. For information on abrasives, see ABRASIVES.

In addition to the primary producers of glasses, cements, and multicrystalline ceramics, there are numerous industries that rework the primary materials into subassemblies, components, or systems that have strategic and economic importance. Often these products, such as

computers, electric lamps, or television picture tubes, could not exist were it not for their ceramic constituents, yet the cost of the ceramic materials is small compared to the component and system values.

Functionally, ceramics technology draws upon the knowledge and skills of the pertinent sciences (physics, chemistry, rheology) and the design arts and the process capabilities of engineering to convert starting materials into new chemical and mineral compositions, with controlled microstructures (or, more broadly, character), dimensions, properties, and behaviour.

Though the glass and the ceramics industries share in Separa common most raw materials, many unit operations and processes and the same underlying sciences, they have throughout the world generally developed as two separate industries. This division has arisen because of competition for some markets, as well as because of differences in the technologies. The same is true of the industries based on cement. In the following text, then, industrial ceramics derived by compacting particulates and subsequent heat treatment will be differentiated from the products of the glass and cement industries, in which the materials are first heated and then formed.

A new class of ceramic materials has been developed by first forming the material as a glass and then reheating to precipitate crystal phases with 50 percent or less residual glassy phase. These "glass ceramics" (known by a variety of other names in each country) can be made to approximate many traditional particulate-formed and sintered (heated but not melted to give coherence) ceramics in chemical and mineral composition; because there are fewer inherent restrictions on control over microstructure, these materials can have superior properties, such as improved resistance to heat shock.

History. Industrial ceramics may be said to have begun with the first barter of the potter's ware. Through ancient times and the Middle Ages, the techniques for making clayware continued to advance, and, with the coming of the industrial and scientific revolutions, the industries were ready to supply the scientist with porcelain for chemical experimentation and manufacturing and also to supply an increased demand for containers, tableware, sanitary ware, and construction materials.

Makers of industrial ceramics were thus prepared for the extraordinary demands brought by the 20th-century growth of the chemical, electrical, electronic, nuclear, automotive, and aerospace industries. For the electrical industry the first products were insulators, such as the familiar porcelain suspensions of transmission lines. For electronics the first new products were insulators for even higher frequencies, with the steatites (a class of magnesium silicate minerals) as the first novel materials. With the science explosion during and after World War II, the applications of ceramics in the electronic field grew to include compact capacitors, piezoelectric transducers, resistor and semiconductor compositions, magnetic materials (hard, soft, memory core, and microwave; see also FERRITES), and other energy converters. Improved compositions for automotive and aviation spark plugs led to high alumina (aluminum oxide) ceramics that have been applied to many mechanical, wear, and electronic uses, including even lamps. Nuclear reactors use ceramics for moderators and controls and the ceramic forms of nuclear fuels (uranium and plutonium) in fuel rods. Strong, hermetic seals of alumina and other ceramics to metals are vital in many applications.

Particle bonding and packing. The forming of ceramics involves particle technology, including size reduction (comminution), measurement, and separation; blending and packing of particles; surface chemistry and physics; rheology, or the flow of more or less plastic materials under pressure; and bonding of particles. Other sciences contribute to the understanding and control of heat treat-

Ceramics came into being because of the useful rheological properties of the clay-water system, with its capability of being shaped, whether as a paste or as a fluid suspension or as a damp, crumbly powder. Modern industrial ceramics often replace clay with organic or in-

and gil

of the industi

1772-1731 1801 1801-1800 1811-1800 1820-181 1830-1814 1830-1814 First Edition Section between Third Edition Evanth Edition Little Edition Little Edition and Edition and Edition and Edition and Edition and Edition and Edition

The New Encyclopædia Britannica

in 30 Volumes

MICROPÆDIA Volume VIII

Ready Reference and Index the second second second

The problem is the conventional of the convent

A. Pantonnia.

101 Jef et 20 Bandheimet jet

FOUNDED 1768 15 TH EDITION



Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc. William Benton, Publisher, 1943-1973 Helen Hemingway Benton, Publisher, 1973-1974

Chicago
Auckland/Geneva/London/Manila/Paris/Rome
Seoul/Sydney/Tokyo/Toronto

nd defended inition, and he duties of to incorpoern chief of directing operational

1 States; by uned a specal functionartermaster, as a member 962, when it n the British 's staff is a ontrol of the

3 10 in English juarterly see for a county ime also ar-

naster 🖑

a recorder irt of quarter he county in

rived from a ices "to keep e least." To at least form y the county i chancellor. quarter setso that there
y four to six
uppointed to
rd chancellor
ist five year puties of the illy qualified. cause of the urisdiction of : been recent; ninal courts

is a court of t. The courts ervisory juris-ivision of the t of Criminal ring appeals als go to the

ny indictable nanslaughter and property, oints of law. tive work of erred to counnment bodies, of a number a ch as appoint-orders for fig.

of two numberone-fourth the eir difference: This fact has on to addition er squares, or rating quarter an odd num-1/4, which can ula. The methles of quarter t few are easily able lists quareding 200,000. trical applica-method is still

rom six to nine) long, used for the cudgel or

with which many legendary heroes are ed as being armed. The quarterstaff at-great popularity in England in the Mida. It was usually made of oak, the ends oth hands, the right hand grasping it enter of the distance from the lower nce the name) and the left at about the The staff was used as a foil, or pracinstitute, for the long, two-handed of the period. In earlier times, it may we been used as a practice weapon for ear and pike.

wave plate, in optics, a doubly recrystal of such thickness that when ribrating in two mutually perpendicues pass through it, waves in one plane retarded by one-quarter wavelength spect to waves in the other plane. The used in optical crystallography and logy as an aid in mineral identification. ed light production 15:402f

er Latin, English latin quarter, of Paris south of the Seine River, centhe Boulevard Saint-Germain, noted ting place for artists.

arts association, and buildings 016g; map 1005

Livre (1552), the fourth part of Franibelais's Gargantua and Pantagruel. ons views of author 15:347d

a Roman and Portuguese unit of equivalent to 73.6 litres and 3.46 respectively.

and measures, table 5 19:734

ton, Enguerrand: see Charonton, Enmand.

ta Sant'Elena, town, Cagliari provnuthern Sardinia, Italy, just east of Ca-ly, It has distilleries, paper mills, cycle torcycle factories, and candy manufac-Wine and cereals are exported, and for extensive salt mines nearby. The of St. Peter dates from the 13th center, (1971 prelim.) mun., 29,944.

rdinia 16:244

a widely distributed mineral of many that consists of silica, or silicon diox-02). Quartz has attracted attention e earliest times; water-clear crystals sown to the ancient Greeks as krystal-nce the name crystal, or more compock crystal, applied to this variety. ne quartz is an old German word of in origin, first used by Georgius in 1530.

has great economic importance. arieties are gernstones. Sandstone, d mainly of quartz, is an important stone. Large amounts of quartz sand in the manufacture of glass and pornd in metal casting for foundry molds. s used as an abrasive in sandpaper, ting, millstones, and grindstones. brick is a high-grade refractory and is a flux in smelting calcareous ores. artz and silica glass are used optically mit ultraviolet light. Tubing and variels of fused quartz have important ry applications; quartz fibres are atternely sensitive weighing devices. occurs as a primary and essential ent of some igneous rocks; it is abunpegmatites; and it is common in many and crystalline schists. By the weathsilicate minerals, silica passes into sod is redeposited as quartz in cavities, and joints of rock types. Quartz is istant to weathering and forms the

ands and sandstones. Extensive veins

z are frequent in schistose rocks. In

veins and lodes it is often the most

t gangue (worthless rock) mineral. It

pseudomorphs replacing other min-

erals and frequently is the replacement material in petrified wood.

Quartz crystals have many interesting variations. They may show a skeletal development, or they may have a helical twist. They may have successive layers of growth; if transparent, the outlines of the inner layers may be faintly visible, giving "phantom" crystals. Many crystals have cavities, usually filled with a liquid (water, carbon dioxide, or a hy-drocarbon) and containing a movable bubble of gas. There are two types of quartz crystals, left-handed and right-handed; such crystals are said to be enantiomorphous. They are not superposable, but one is the mirror reflection of the other, like the right and left hands. This phenomenon is an optical feature related to the internal grouping of atoms, which actually consists of a spiral arrangement that reorients incident light.

Twin crystals of quartz are very common, particularly penetration twins such as Dauphine twins that consist of two right-handed or two left-handed intergrown crystals. Brazil, or optical, twins are intimate intergrowths of right- and left-handed crystals with laminae of one enclosed within the other. Both types of twinning may be present in the same crystal.

Because of its low symmetry, quartz is piezo-electric: a crystal develops positive and negative charges on alternate prism edges when it is subjected to pressure or tension. The charges are proportional to the change in pressure. Because of its piezoelectric property, a quartz plate can be used as a pressure gauge, as in depth-sounding apparatus.

Moreover, if compression and tension produce opposite charges, the converse effect is that alternating opposite charges will cause alternating expansion and contraction. A section cut from a quartz crystal with definite orientation and dimensions has a natural frequency of this expansion and contraction (i.e., vibration) that is very high. Properly cut plates of quartz may have frequencies measured in millions of vibrations per second and are used for frequency control in radio and other electronic communications equipment and for crystal-controlled clocks.

When heated, quartz undergoes a series of remarkable changes. At ordinary temperatures it exists as α -quartz, but at 573° C 1,063° F) it passes over into β -quartz, with a change in symmetry and an alteration in other properties; at 870° C (1,598° F) this β -quartz changes to β -tridymite, and again at 1,470° C $(2,678^{\circ} \text{ F})$ changes to β -cristobalite. These changes are known as inversions. The inver-

sion from α - to β -quartz is reversible, so that quartz is always the a form at ordinary temperatures. After β -tridymite or β -cristobalite are formed, they remain as tridymite or cristobalite, but upon cooling they invert to α -forms that may exist for indefinite periods. Accordingly, all three forms occur in nature. The six crystalline modifications have closely related structures in which each silicon atom

is surrounded tetrahedrally by four oxygen atoms. Adjacent tetrahedrons are linked by sharing of oxygen atoms, and the various modifications differ only in the arrangement of these linked tetrahedrons.

abrasive uses and limitations 1:14d ceramics use and shale composition 16:634h coastal features of continental beaches 4:796g

congruent dissolving reaction 7:734c crystal structure, formation, and properties 16:753g; table 752

electronic oscillator circuitry 6:686c eolian sand composition and origins 16:209b · gem characteristics and varieties 7:974c; illus. · geological forms and composition 7:1054f gold ore association 8:237b

granite texture and Bowen's series, illus. 3 and 5 9:222

graywacke component size and content 8:296d; illus. 297

reaction series 9:202b; illus. 207

heat capacity, illus. 4 15:960 high temperature and pressure reactions and phase changes 7:1024d; illus. igneous rock composition and Bowen's

·intrusive igneous rock classification 9:220e; table 221

loess composition and properties 11:24g marble content and silicate formation 11:486e marine sediment composition and origin 11:496f

metamorphic occurrences and formula 12:1b;

metamorphic rock reactions and texture 15:950g passim to 951a mica inclusions and stability limits 12:94d; table 96

occurrence, mineralogy, properties, and economic uses 16:751f passim to 756a

occurrence and piezoelectrical properties 12:240c passim to 244h occurrence in pyroclastic rocks 9:229f physical properties table 16:751 piezoelectricity and thermal stability 14:462a

polarized light rotation 9:1035f polymorphism at high pressure 8:870b

pure silica glass production 8:206g sandstone composition and bedding 16:212h sandstone formation 6:705h

semiconductor property and conductivity 16:525e

illus, 214

shock metamorphism of granite 12:52f; illus. 53

siliceous rock composition 16:765g structural stability range 16:761h structure, polymorphism, and crystal habit 12:234b passim to 237a

timekeeping crystal ring function 4:746g vibrational resonance applications 19:100c wollastonite metamorphism at low temperature 15:948c

quartzarenite, sandstone containing 95 percent or more quartz grains. sandstone types and composition 16:215a;

quartz-crystal clock, timekeeping device actuated by an electric motor supplied with alternating current, the frequency of which is accurately regulated by the resonance of a rod or disc of piezoelectric quartz.

construction and accuracy 18:415h timekeeping mechanism accuracy 4:746g

quartz diorite (petrology): see tonalite.

quartzite, sandstone that has been converted into a solid quartz rock. Unlike sandstones, quartzites are free from pores and have a smooth fracture; when struck, they break through, not around, the sand grains, producing a smooth surface instead of a rough and granular one. Conversion of sandstone to quartzite may be accomplished by precipitation of silica from interstitial waters below the Earth's surface; these rocks are called orthoquartzites, whereas those produced by recrystallization under high temperatures and pressures are metaquartzites.

Quartzites are snowy white, less often pink or gray; they commonly have a fine angular jointing and break up into rubble under frost action. They yield a thin and very barren soil and, because they weather slowly, tend to project as hills or mountain masses.

The term quartzite implies not only a high degree of hardening (induration), or "welding," but also a high content of quartz; similar rocks that contain appreciable quantities of other minerals and rock particles are impure quartzites, more appropriately called graywacke, litharenite, sandstone, etc. Most quartizites contain 90 percent or more quartz, but some contain 99 percent and are the largest and purest concentrations of silica in the Earth's crust. Pure quartzites are a source of silica for metallurgical purposes and for the manufacture of silica brick. Quartzite is also quarried for paving blocks, riprap, road metal (crushed stone), railroad ballast, and roofing granules.

In microscopic section the clastic structure of some quartzites is well preserved; the rounded sand grains are seen with quartz overgrowths deposited in crystalline continuity, so

APPENDIX D—Table of Authorities

- (1) In re Buehler, 515 F.2d 1134, 185 USPQ 781
- (2) In re Rosenburger et al., 55 CCPA 880, 386 F. 2d 1015, 156 USPQ 24
- (3) Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (M.P.E.P.)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 3723

Examiner: Anthony Eziamara OJINI

Confirmation No.: 2562

In Re PATENT APPLICATION Of:

Appellant(s):	Patrick Michael McCAFFREY et al.)
Serial No.:	09/840,077)) RE-SUBMISSION
Filed:	April 24, 2001)) of
For:	POWDER FOR DISKS)) PETITION
Docket No.:	ROC920010057US1-IBM 204))
		September 6, 2005

MAIL STOP PETITION Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Appellants re-submit their petition earlier submitted, due to lack of action by the PTO. No fee is due, but if any fees are needed to enter this paper, please charge the same to our deposit account no. 18-0002 and advise us accordingly. No petition for extension of time is needed because of this authorization, but consider this paper a petition for such if needed.

The Examiner examined all of the original claims 1-20 in the first Action dated September 10, 2002 but then in the second Action dated January 17, 2003, restricted the already-examined claims 10-20 on the grounds that the two "inventions" were related as "product and process of use." However, this is incorrect; the actual relationship is product and method of making the product, as can be determined with a glance at original claims 1 and 10:

- 1. In combination, a plurality of disks including a first disk and a second disk stacked upon said first disk, and a powder disposed between said first disk and said second disk.
- 10. A method of preparing a disk, comprising:

 providing at least a first disk and a second disk;

 stacking the first disk on the second disk; and

 providing a powder between a surface of the first disk and a surface of the second disk.

In the Action of April 17, 2003, the Examiner asserted that the requirement was proper because "the process of using the product can be practiced with another ... product," which is also clearly incorrect. The claimed process of original claim 10 can only result in the claimed product of original claim 1, and nothing else.

The Appellants traversed the requirement in their Response of January 27, 2003, which incorporated a Petition to the Commissioner in case the restriction requirement were made final. However, nothing has been heard from the Commissioner in response to the Petition and the Appellants presume that the Examiner did not forward the Petition, despite reminders and requests for acknowledgment in the Appellants' Amendment of June 20, 2003 and the Amendment of December 3, 2003. In the Action of March 26, 2004 noted the Appellants' complaint that the Petition had not been forwarded to the Commissioner, and replied, "However, no Petition filed with the Applicants' response ... has been forwarded to the Commissioner," which does not constitute any explanation or clarification. The Appellants repeated their request in the Amendment of June 22, 2004. In reply (in the outstanding Action of August 24, 2004), the Examiner wrote that "the rules do not provide [for] such provisional petition ... applicant should file a petition with fees directly to Commissioner" (page 5, line 1).

. ا Both of the Petitions were incorporated in other papers, but each was clearly captioned as a "PROVISIONAL PETITION" and 37 C.F.R. §1.181 does not require filing a petition as a separate paper, nor is the M.P.E.P. seen to include any such requirement—the Examiner has not identified "the rules" relied upon. If the Examiner *sua sponte* had instituted such a requirement, the Appellants should have been notified of this fact earlier. In any event, it is believed that examiners have access to copy machines and a copy could very easily have been, and in fairness should have been, forwarded by the Examiner to the petitioned authority.

M.P.E.P. 1002.01 states that "Petitions ... are sent to the [deciding] official," which is the Tech Center Director under M.P.E.P. §1002.02(c)(2) and §1002.02(c)(3)(a) for the Appellants' petitions. However, the Appellants' Petitions specifically stated that they were appealing to the "Commissioner," which is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 (titled, "Petition to the Commissioner"). The Commissioner delegates to the proper official under M.P.E.P. §1002.02.

The Examiner also failed to forward a petition for withdrawal of finality sent to the PTO on October 3, 2003. No mention of this other petition is seen in the Examiner's actions.

This paper reiterates the re-petition first submitted on January 27, 2005, and submits a copy thereof. Action on this petition is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Nick Brown

<u>September 6, 2005</u>

Date

Nick Bromer

Registration No. 33,478 RABIN & BERDO, PC

Customer No. 23995

Telephone: 202-371-8976 Facsimile: 202-408-0924

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 3723

Examiner: Eziamara Anthony OJINI

IN REPATENT APPLICATION Of:

Applicant(s):	Patrick Michael McCAFFREY et al.)		
Serial No.:	09/840,077)		
Filed:	April 24, 2001)	RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION	
For:	POWDER FOR DISKS)	PROVISIONAL PETITION TO THE COMMISSIONER	
Daalest No.	B00000040057404 IBM 004	,	THE COMMISSIONER	

Docket No: ROC920010057US1-IBM 204

January 27, 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents & Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement dated January 17, 2003, Applicants elect the invention of Group [, i.e., the subject matter of claims []~9, for further examination. The election is made with traverse.

The Examiner's attention is respectfully directed to MPEP § 803, which specifically states that if the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine the entire application on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. It is submitted that examination of the entire application would not constitute a serious burden on the part of the Examiner, since the Examiner has already searched the subject matter of the claims of both Groups I and II. That is, the Examiner has already issued an Action on the merits (mailed September 10, 2002), in which all of the claims of each group were evaluated by the Examiner, and rejected in view of the art of record. A response to this Action was submitted by Applicants on November 22, 2002. It is thus requested that the Examiner continue to examine all of the claims pending in the application.

Should the Examiner make this requirement final, Applicants hereby Petition the Commissioner, with this paper, to review the Examiner's requirements.

Continued examination of the application on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

January 27, 2003

Date

Robert H. Berdo, Jr. Registration No. 38,075 RABIN & BERDO, PC Customer No. 23995 Telephone: 202-371-8976

Facsimile: 202-408-0924

RHB:crh

Response to Restriction Requirement